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After an initial meeting in December, the task force met four times and corresponded via e-mail.

The task force, composed of Carol A. Burn, Associate Dean of the School of Nursing; Carol A. Burn, Director of the School of Nutrition; and John P. Greer, Associate Dean of the School of Public Health, was charged by the Academic Affairs Committee to develop a proposal for priority registration. The task force, which included professors from various disciplines, was charged to develop a proposal for priority registration that would address the needs of students who had been successful in their academic careers but who were not able to register for courses due to the lack of availability of seats.

To launch this endeavor, I consulted with various individuals regarding the groups of students who should be considered to be covered by priority registration and the steps that would lead to a comprehensive plan. I also consulted with various individuals with various initiatives that would cover the groups of students who would be covered by priority registration.

The proposal was developed by a committee of faculty and staff members from the School of Nursing, the School of Public Health, and the School of Pharmacy. The proposal was reviewed by the Academic Affairs Committee and the Faculty Senate. The proposal was approved by the Academic Affairs Committee and the Faculty Senate.

The proposal was then submitted to the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill for review. The proposal was approved by the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

November 7, 2007

Chapel Hill
AT
The University of North Carolina
In favor of the proposal to incorporate the recommended changes, the Executive Council, which oversees the Educational Policy Committee in collaboration with the Faculty Council, after a thorough review of the Educational Policy proposal, recommends the following guidance and support for implementation of the proposal.

The Executive Policy Committee reviewed the Priority Registration Proposal on October 10 and October 17, and voted to recommend the proposal to the Board for approval. The proposal is to be reviewed by the faculty at a special meeting on December 1, 2007.

Implementation of this proposal requires the support of the student community, particularly students who have been advised to review the proposal and provide documentation on this student government website. I also recommend that the implementation of this proposal be discussed at a faculty senate meeting before the start of the fall semester. Several faculty members have expressed their support for the proposal and have offered to assist in its implementation.

The Priority Registration Committee, which oversees the implementation of the Priority Registration Program, met with the Priority Registration Advisory Committee to discuss the implementation of the Priority Registration Program. The committee concluded that the priority registration system would be beneficial to the student community, particularly students who have been advised to review the proposal.

One important aspect of the implementation of this proposal is to determine how many student advisors would be assigned to each advisor. The program would also require the development of a comprehensive plan to accommodate the needs of students who are advised to review the proposal.

We are committed to ensuring that the student advisor is a key component of the student advisor. The program would also require the development of a comprehensive plan to accommodate the needs of students who are advised to review the proposal.

The Priority Registration Program allows students to register for courses that have been approved by the university registrar. The program would also require the development of a comprehensive plan to accommodate the needs of students who are advised to review the proposal.

In support of the proposal, the General Faculty Advisory Committee, which oversees the implementation of the Priority Registration Program, met with the university registrar to discuss the implementation of the Priority Registration Program. The committee concluded that the priority registration system would be beneficial to the student community, particularly students who have been advised to review the proposal.
Professor and Director, Program in Child Development

J. Steven Reznick, Ph.D.
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forward to a broad discussion of the proposal and hopefully, its eventual implementation. The educational innovations suggested by the Educational Policy Committee are improvements. We look forward with interest to a thorough review of the revised policy.
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consult with the PRVC and seek advice from the Educational Policy Committee. The Register will suggest modifications to the Priority Registration Policy as needed. The Register will propose a new Priority Registration (P.R.) system that is aligned with the existing P.R. system and that will facilitate more efficient course selection for students. The PRVC will review the new Priority Registration policy and make a decision on whether to adopt it.

The PRVC will also consider the following issues:

1. **Orientation and Placement:** The PRVC will ensure that students are placed in appropriate courses based on their skills and interests.
2. **Course Selection:** The PRVC will develop a system for students to select courses that best meet their needs.
3. **Credit Accumulation:** The PRVC will develop a system for tracking credit accumulation to ensure that students are on track to graduate.
4. **Advising:** The PRVC will develop a system for advising students to ensure that they are making progress towards their academic goals.
5. **Graduation:** The PRVC will develop a system for tracking graduation rates and ensuring that students are on track to graduate.

The PRVC will work closely with the department chairs to ensure that all students are able to progress towards their academic goals.

The PRVC will also consider the following issues:

1. **Placement of Students:** The PRVC will ensure that students are placed in appropriate courses based on their skills and interests.
2. **Course Selection:** The PRVC will develop a system for students to select courses that best meet their needs.
3. **Credit Accumulation:** The PRVC will develop a system for tracking credit accumulation to ensure that students are on track to graduate.
4. **Advising:** The PRVC will develop a system for advising students to ensure that they are making progress towards their academic goals.
5. **Graduation:** The PRVC will develop a system for tracking graduation rates and ensuring that students are on track to graduate.

The PRVC will also consider the following issues:

1. **Placement of Students:** The PRVC will ensure that students are placed in appropriate courses based on their skills and interests.
2. **Course Selection:** The PRVC will develop a system for students to select courses that best meet their needs.
3. **Credit Accumulation:** The PRVC will develop a system for tracking credit accumulation to ensure that students are on track to graduate.
4. **Advising:** The PRVC will develop a system for advising students to ensure that they are making progress towards their academic goals.
5. **Graduation:** The PRVC will develop a system for tracking graduation rates and ensuring that students are on track to graduate.

The PRVC will work closely with the department chairs to ensure that all students are able to progress towards their academic goals.

The PRVC will also consider the following issues:

1. **Placement of Students:** The PRVC will ensure that students are placed in appropriate courses based on their skills and interests.
2. **Course Selection:** The PRVC will develop a system for students to select courses that best meet their needs.
3. **Credit Accumulation:** The PRVC will develop a system for tracking credit accumulation to ensure that students are on track to graduate.
4. **Advising:** The PRVC will develop a system for advising students to ensure that they are making progress towards their academic goals.
5. **Graduation:** The PRVC will develop a system for tracking graduation rates and ensuring that students are on track to graduate.

The PRVC will work closely with the department chairs to ensure that all students are able to progress towards their academic goals.
Individual students may not apply directly to the Registrar for priority registration.

Priority registration is an official who has responsibility for their program (e.g., a Dean,
Other groups that may have comparable registration challenges may be proposed for
accommodation.

The student has a disability for which priority registration is an approved

must be a direct result of the disability (e.g., of

professor), and that requires a significant change to be developed in student accommodations (e.g.,

students be enrolled in a degree program requiring this at least one semester be

student is enrolled in a degree program requiring this at least one semester be

students' practice registration is at the NCAVA maximum of 20 hours per week).

students' practice registration is at the NCAVA maximum of 20 hours per week.

student engages in an activity that formally represents the University and by

the following conditions:

students in the group must be

eligible to be considered for priority registration at the next priority registration

courses are considered "reasonable" in that they must be of

candidates who would

unreasonable for priority registration" that are difficult to establish in a program of

unreasonable for priority registration is referred to as "reasonable" for priority registration and

yet the priority registration is referred to as "reasonable" for priority registration and

registration for priority registration will be extended to undergraduates who encounter

Eligibility for Priority Registration

available in selected courses is capped at 25% during the next priority registration

selected courses, the Registrar will work with the department involved so that

sections and specific selected courses are available in sections and specific selected courses to determine whether any

section be available for priority registration. The Registrar and the PRVC will then

as a general rule, we recommend that no more than 25% of the seats in each

limitations

is modified or altered to increase

and make a formal recommendation regarding whether the policy should be continued as

committee in collaboration with faculty council to review how well the policy is working.

four years. After four years, the Registrar will re-examine this recommendation.

the priority registration policy proposed here will be in effect for a five-year period.

counselling and information services and coordinator of course selection

who are advised of the new priority registration and enrollment policies. This

An initial review of the educational policy committee, considering the number of students

pertaining to the new priority registration policy, the Registrar will present

Focus the Nation: Global Warming Solutions for America is a national initiative to get colleges, universities, businesses, and other civic organizations in the United States to collaboratively engage in a nationwide, interdisciplinary discussion about solutions to global warming.

As one of over 1,000 institutions across the nation signed on to this important initiative, UNC has made the pledge to host a Focus the Nation Day on January 31st, 2008.

Levels of participation

Announce the event in class on 1/31.

Lead a 5-10 minute class discussion on climate change on 1/31.
   We can give you some conversation starters for your class!

Focus your whole 1/31 class on the topic of global climate change.
   Need Ideas? We can put you in touch with the experts!

Help Focus the Nation recruit more faculty participation.

Give a campus lecture about how your discipline relates to climate change solutions.
   We’ll publicize your talk for you!

Endorsements

- Chancellor Moeser
- Student Body President Eve Carson
- UNC School of Education
- UNC Biology Department
- UNC Institute for the Environment
- Curriculum in Ecology

75 UNC Instructors from the following departments have already signed on:

- American History
- American Studies
- Art History
- Asian Studies
- Biology
- Business
- Chemistry
- Communications
- Economics
- English
- Environmental Studies
- French
- Geography
- Geology
- Journalism
- Marine Sciences
- Nursing
- Philosophy
- Physics
- Political Science
- Public Policy
- Religious Studies
- Romance Languages
- School of Nursing
- School of Public Health
- Sociology
- Women's Studies

...but we need you too!
Ewing pointed out that VAYSA records show that the Cane Creek Reservoir is six
percent of the size of the two reservoirs it serves. "This means it takes six
years to fill the Cane Creek Reservoir from drought because the Cane Creek Reservoir is six
percent of the size of the two reservoirs it serves."

Ewing added, "Since the University has secured a special reduction of 10% in the cost of water for the
University and the Chapel Hill-Carrboro communities, there will be no increase in rates."

In reference to the Cane Creek Reservoir, the University has negotiated a special rate that allows
the University to purchase water for a lower rate than the general public. This rate is effective
immediately.

Chancellor Moore asked to address the Chancellor's Point of View. He stated that, "As Chancellor
I have decided to restructure the Chancellor's Office and to move to a more efficient and
productive manner."

The Chancellor's Office will now be responsible for all university communications and will provide
a central point for all internal communications. This will allow for a more streamlined and
efficient way of communicating with faculty, staff, and students.

Treasurer, Verona Pegues, and her team, along with the Chancellor's Office, have
announced the following changes:

1. The Chancellor's Office will be responsible for all university communications.
2. The Chancellor's Office will be responsible for all university budgets.
3. The Chancellor's Office will be responsible for all university human resources.
4. The Chancellor's Office will be responsible for all university capital projects.
5. The Chancellor's Office will be responsible for all university legal matters.
6. The Chancellor's Office will be responsible for all university security.

The following 27 members were present without excuse:

Abernathy, August, Bannister, Bice, Bingham, Biscoe, Blevins, Brown, Burt, Byrd,
Caldwell, Cameron, Carothers, Cato, Chafin, Clark, Covert, Currie, Davis,
Dill, Dillard, Dormer, Edwards, Evans, Ford, Fuller, Gilleland, Graham, Green,
Hines, Johnson, Jordan, Kelley, Kornell, Kornylak, LaFromboise, Levine, Kirby,
Lewis, Loe, Martin, Matthews, McCollum, McLean, McPhail, McWhorter, Mims,
Moore, Morgan, Muller, Nance, Niblett, Odom, Parks, Peeples, Pearson, Pettit,
Perry, Potter, Ragsdale, Ragsdale, Randlett, Reeder, Reddy, Riddle, Rice,
Roberts, Robinson, Robinson, Robinson, Robinson, Robinson, Robinson,
Rutledge, Scott, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith, Smith,
There were no questions.

Chair of the Faculty Joseph Temptation Heads the Annual Report of the Executive Committee

...
excise and come up to support the proposal.

EXPLORE POLICY COMMITTEE's Issue Year...
the proposal because the basic concept is fundamentally flawed.

Prof. Perrin said he would vote against the proposal because it has serious flaws. "The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to address the fundamental issues," he said. "It is a compromise, and it does not meet the needs of the students." He added that the proposal does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

Committee supports the decision to recommend the proposal be rejected. The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students. The proposal is not well-thought-out, and it does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

"The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students," he said. "It is a compromise, and it does not meet the needs of the students." He added that the proposal does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

Committee supports the decision to recommend the proposal be rejected. The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students. The proposal is not well-thought-out, and it does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

"The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students," he said. "It is a compromise, and it does not meet the needs of the students." He added that the proposal does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

Committee supports the decision to recommend the proposal be rejected. The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students. The proposal is not well-thought-out, and it does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

"The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students," he said. "It is a compromise, and it does not meet the needs of the students." He added that the proposal does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

Committee supports the decision to recommend the proposal be rejected. The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students. The proposal is not well-thought-out, and it does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

"The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students," he said. "It is a compromise, and it does not meet the needs of the students." He added that the proposal does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

Committee supports the decision to recommend the proposal be rejected. The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students. The proposal is not well-thought-out, and it does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

"The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students," he said. "It is a compromise, and it does not meet the needs of the students." He added that the proposal does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

Committee supports the decision to recommend the proposal be rejected. The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students. The proposal is not well-thought-out, and it does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

"The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students," he said. "It is a compromise, and it does not meet the needs of the students." He added that the proposal does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

Committee supports the decision to recommend the proposal be rejected. The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students. The proposal is not well-thought-out, and it does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

"The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students," he said. "It is a compromise, and it does not meet the needs of the students." He added that the proposal does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

Committee supports the decision to recommend the proposal be rejected. The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students. The proposal is not well-thought-out, and it does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

"The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students," he said. "It is a compromise, and it does not meet the needs of the students." He added that the proposal does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

Committee supports the decision to recommend the proposal be rejected. The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students. The proposal is not well-thought-out, and it does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.

"The proposal is not feasible, and it fails to meet the needs of the students," he said. "It is a compromise, and it does not meet the needs of the students." He added that the proposal does not take into account the diverse needs of the student body.
Council voted in the affirmative, 17 in the negative. The proposal was adopted.

**Discussion following considered.**

Prop: Accept or reject the proposal? If the motion is adopted, the proposal will be on the agenda for the next meeting.

**Proposal: Accept the proposal.**

**Controversy:**

- The proposal is being considered for a vote by roll call. Thirty-five members of the council are present.
- With disabilities as well as student athletes, prejudice, and equal opportunity, the proposal addresses the need for an affirmative action plan to ensure equal opportunity for all.
- The proposal also addresses the need for a program that would address the concerns of students who are subject to requirements to maintain eligibility. The proposal addresses the need for an affirmative action plan to ensure equal opportunity for all.

**Address:** The proposal was adopted.

**Proposal:**

- The amendment was adopted.
- The amendment addresses the need for an affirmative action plan to ensure equal opportunity for all.
- The amendment addresses the need for an affirmative action plan to ensure equal opportunity for all.

**Proposal:**

- The proposal was adopted.
- The proposal addresses the need for an affirmative action plan to ensure equal opportunity for all.
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Adjournment

Its business having been completed, the Council adjourned at 5:00 p.m.